

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/500,132	02/08/2000	Kiyoshi Iseki	11197/1	2161	
7590 08/17/2006			EXAMINER		
John C. Altmiller			SIMONE, CATHERINE A		
Kenyon & Ken 1500 K Street N	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 700			1772		
Washington, DC 20005-1257			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/500,132	ISEKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Catherine Simone	1772		

	Catherine Simone	1772						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
	a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 August 2006</u> . A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		eçause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,21 and 22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20</u> .	•							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
13.  Other:	•	,						
		·						

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly amended claim 1 raises new issues requiring a novel search and further consideration because now it recites the new limitations "controlled" and "along the length and the width". Also, new claim 23 raises new issues requiring a novel search and further consideration because it recites the limitations "the ratio of a controlled maximum thickness of the inorganic oxide layer of the portion of the film to a controlled minimum thickness of the inorganic oxide layer of the portion of the film is equal to or less than 1.5 along the length and the width in the portion of the film" and "wherein static electricity of said plastic film having said inorganic oxide layer is in a range from -10kV to +10kV.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to a proposed claim amendment which is not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. Furthermore, Applicant's arguments are drawn to a declaration which is also not being entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/500,132

Art Unit: 1772

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (571)272-1501. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Catherine A. Simone Examiner Art Unit 1772

August 8, 2006

RENA DYE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

riorist streets